

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,130	02/24/2004	Akira Mitsui	IIP-114-A	1663
21828 75	90 03/07/2006		EXAM	IINER
	ACKMAN AND AS	KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL		
24101 NOVI ROSUITE 100	OAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NOVI, MI 48	3375		3682	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/785,130	MITSUI, AKIRA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Justin Krause	3682			
	 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply 					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 2004.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛	10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
			•			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔯 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/785,130 Page 2

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8 and 9, the term "larger" is unclear to the examiner in what aspect one weight is larger than the other weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riedl (US Patent 5,010,778).

Riedl discloses vibratory mechanism comprising: vibratory shafts (2,3), which are stored within a roll (1) and are arranged symmetrically across a rotation axis of the roll; fixed eccentric weights fixed (15a) to ones of the respective vibratory shafts; rotatable eccentric weights (15b) rotatably attached to ones of the respective vibratory shafts;

rotation controllers (16) controlling a range of movement of the rotatable eccentric weights;

and an eccentric moment controller (see fig 3) which changes an eccentric moment around the vibratory shaft depending on a rotation direction of the vibratory shafts,

whereby the roll vibrates in all radial directions when respective vibratory shafts rotate in one direction, and the roll vibrates in a direction tangential to the circumference of the roll when respective vibratory shafts rotate in a reverse direction.

Regarding claim 2, a first vibratory shaft and a second vibratory shaft are stored in the roll, and the first vibratory shafts is arranged at a 180 deg. opposite position across a rotation axis of the roll with respect to the second vibratory shaft, (shown in fig 1)

a total eccentric moment around the first vibratory shaft is substantially the same as a total eccentric moment around the second vibratory shaft, when the first vibratory shaft and the second vibratory shaft are rotated in the one direction, a total eccentric moment around the first vibratory shaft is substantially the same as a total eccentric moment around the second vibratory shaft, when the first vibratory shaft and the second vibratory shaft are rotated in the reverse direction, (col 3, lines 46-51)

Page 4

wherein the total eccentric moment around the first vibratory shaft is obtained by subtracting an eccentric moment of the fixed eccentric weight from an eccentric moment of the rotatable eccentric weight and the total eccentric moment around the second vibratory shaft is obtained by subtracting an eccentric moment of the rotatable eccentric weight from an eccentric moment of the fixed eccentric weight, when the first vibratory shaft and the second vibratory shaft are rotated in the one direction, and

the total eccentric moment around the first vibratory shaft is obtained by adding an eccentric moment of the fixed eccentric weight to an eccentric moment of the rotatable eccentric weight and the total eccentric moment around the second vibratory shaft is obtained by adding an eccentric moment of the rotatable eccentric weight to an eccentric moment of the fixed eccentric weight, when the first vibratory shaft and the second vibratory shaft are rotated in the reverse direction.

Regarding claim 3, respective rotatable eccentric weights of the first vibratory shaft and the second vibratory shaft are allowed to rotate around the first vibratory

shaft and the second vibratory shaft, respectively, within limits of 0 to 180 deg (Col 3, line 39)

an eccentric moment around the first vibratory shaft of the fixed eccentric weight is substantially the same as an eccentric moment around the second vibratory shaft of the rotatable eccentric weight (col 3, lines 46-51 and Col 4, lines 8-12), and

an eccentric moment around the first vibratory shaft of the rotatable eccentric weight is substantially the same as an eccentric moment around the second vibratory shaft of the fixed eccentric weight. (col 3, lines 46-51 and Col 4, lines 8-12)

Regarding claim 4, a first vibratory shaft (2) and a second vibratory shaft (3), which are stored within a roll (1) and are arranged symmetrically across a rotation axis of the roll (fig 1);

a first fixed eccentric weight (15a) and a second fixed eccentric weight (15a), which are fixed to the first vibratory shaft and the second vibratory shaft (Col 3, lines 66-68 makes clear that the arrangement of parts on shafts 2 and 3 is the same), respectively;

a first rotatable eccentric weight (15b) and a second rotatable eccentric weight (15b), which are rotatably attached to the first vibratory shaft and the second vibratory shaft, respectively;

a first rotation controller (16), which is provided on the first fixed eccentric weight and controls a first phase difference between the first fixed eccentric weight and

the first rotatable eccentric weight depending on the rotation direction of the first vibratory shaft; and

a second rotation controller (16), which is provided on the second fixed eccentric weight and controls a second phase difference between the second fixed eccentric weight and the second rotatable eccentric weight depending on the rotation direction of the second vibratory shaft.

Regarding claim 5, first rotation controller and the second rotation controller hold the first phase difference and the second phase difference at 0 deg., respectively, when the first vibratory shaft and the second vibratory shaft rotate in one direction, and the first rotation controller and the second rotation controller hold the first phase difference and the second phase difference at 180 deg., respectively, when the first vibratory shaft and the second vibratory shaft rotate in a reverse direction. (shown in fig 2 and Col 4, lines 16-19 states the motor is reversible)

Regarding claim 6, an eccentric moment around the first vibratory shaft of the fixed eccentric weight is substantially the same as an eccentric moment around the second vibratory shaft of the rotatable eccentric weight (col 3, lines 46-51 and Col 4, lines 8-12), and

an eccentric moment around the first vibratory shaft of the rotatable eccentric weight is substantially the same as an eccentric moment around the second vibratory shaft of the fixed eccentric weight. (col 3, lines 46-51 and Col 4, lines 8-12)

Regarding claim 7, the vibratory mechanism is in a roll (1)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riedl and Fuchigami (US Patent 4,108,009) or Orzal (US Patent 4,568,218).

Riedl discloses all of the claimed subject matter as described above, including a roll (1).

Fuchigami and Orzal teach vibratory rollers containing vibratory mechanisms for compacting soil, earth, asphalt, etc. (see figures) and it therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the vibratory mechanism of Reidl in a vibratory roller as taught by Fuchigami or Orzal to compact soil, earth, asphalt, etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,130 Page 8

Art Unit: 3682

7. Claims 8 and 9, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riedl in view of Balz (US Patent 4,461,122).

Riedl discloses all of the claimed subject matter as described above, but does not disclose the fixed eccentric weight attached to one of the vibratory shafts is larger than the rotatable eccentric weight attached to the one of the one of the vibratory shafts and the fixed eccentric weight attached to another of the vibratory shafts is smaller than said rotatable eccentric weight rotatably attached to the other vibratory shaft.

Balz teaches use of 2 sets of eccentric weights where one movable eccentric weight is larger than the fixed eccentric weight, and the other movable eccentric weight is smaller that the other fixed eccentric weight for the purpose of altering the intensity of the vibrations directionally (Col 3, lines 36-56).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Riedl and incorporate a fixed eccentric weight attached to one of the vibratory shafts is larger than the rotatable eccentric weight attached to the one of the one of the vibratory shafts and a fixed eccentric weight attached to another of the vibratory shafts is smaller than the rotatable eccentric weight rotatably attached to the other vibratory shaft for the purpose of altering the intensity of the vibrations directionally.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,130 Page 9

Art Unit: 3682

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Krause whose telephone number is 571-272-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMK 3/3/06

> RICHARD RIDLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER